

You have 90 minutes to solve the following two exercises!

1. Mechanism Design with Money

A government decides whether to build a new airport. The cost of building the airport is $c > 0$. There are $n \geq 2$ citizens affected by the decision. Citizen i 's utility is given by

$$\theta_i g - t_i, \tag{1}$$

where $g \in \{0, 1\}$ denotes the governments decision (with $g = 1$ if and only if the airport is built), θ_i is citizen i 's valuation for the airport, and t_i is the transfer citizen i pays to the government.

Citizen i 's valuation θ_i is drawn from the distribution F_i on the interval $[\underline{\theta}, \bar{\theta}]$, where F_i admits an everywhere strictly positive density f_i . The citizen's valuations are mutually independent. Denote $F(\theta) = \prod_i F_i(\theta_i)$ the joint distribution of the type vector $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$, and f its density function.

The citizen's transfers are used to finance the airport. There are no outside sources of money, thus the government faces the budget constraint

$$c \leq \sum_i t_i \quad \text{if } g = 1 \tag{2}$$

A direct mechanism (q, t) specifies for each type profile $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$ a probability $q(\theta)$ of building the airport, and a vector of transfers $t(\theta) = (t_1(\theta), \dots, t_n(\theta))$.

- (a) Describe the set of socially optimal allocation(s) (q^*, t^*) .
- (b) Show that the ex-ante expected budget surplus (that is revenue minus costs) from any direct mechanism (q, t) satisfying DIC is given by

$$\int_{\Theta} q(\theta) \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\theta_i - \frac{1 - F(\theta_i)}{f(\theta_i)} \right) - c \right] f(\theta) d\theta - \sum_{i=1}^n U_i(\underline{\theta}) \tag{3}$$

where $U_i(\theta_i) = \mathbb{E}_{\theta_{-i}}(\theta_i q(\theta_i, \theta_{-i}) - t_i(\theta_i, \theta_{-i}))$.

Hint: use the envelope-formula for DIC-implementable mechanisms.

Define the 'pivot' mechanism (q^P, t^P) as follows: $q^P(\theta) = q^*(\theta)$ and

$$t_i^P(\theta) = \underline{\theta} q^*(\underline{\theta}, \theta_{-i}) + (q^*(\theta) - q^*(\underline{\theta}, \theta_{-i})) \left(c - \sum_{j \neq i} \theta_j \right) \tag{4}$$

- (c) Prove that the pivot mechanism is DIC and IR.
- (d) Prove that there is no DIC and IR mechanism that implements q^* and has larger ex-ante expected budget surplus than the pivot mechanism
- (e) Briefly explain why the result in (d) extends to mechanisms that are Bayesian incentive compatible.
- (f) Prove that for $c \in (n\underline{\theta}, n\bar{\theta})$ there is no incentive compatible and individually rational mechanism that implements a socially efficient allocation.

2. There are three alternatives, a , b and c , and three voters, 1, 2 and 3, with strict preferences over the three alternatives.

(a) Suppose ordinal rankings are given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} a &\succ_1 b \succ_1 c \\ b &\succ_2 a \succ_2 c \\ c &\succ_3 b \succ_3 a \end{aligned}$$

Which alternative is the Condorcet winner? Give an example of distinct ordinal preferences for each voter, such that alternative a is the Condorcet winner, and another example such that there is no Condorcet winner.

(b) Now suppose preferences are single-peaked according to the linear order $a \geq b \geq c$. Which ordinal rankings can occur?

From now on assume voters' preferences are single-peaked as described in (b). Further assume preferences are private information and each ordinal ranking (as determined in (b)) is equally likely. Cardinal preferences are such that a voter derives utility 1 from her most preferred alternative, utility $1/3$ from the intermediate alternative, and utility zero from her least preferred alternative.

- (c) Consider the following sequential voting procedure. First, voters decide between alternatives a and c with simple majority. Next, voters decide (again with simple majority) whether to adopt the 'winner' of stage one or alternative b . Show that sincere voting is an equilibrium.
- (d) Consider the voting procedure from part (c), but now assume the first round is between alternatives a and b , and the winner goes against alternative c . Show that there is an equilibrium where everyone votes sincerely, with the exception of a voter type who strictly prefers alternative c : this type votes for a in the first round and sincerely in the second round. For which realization of individual preferences does this voting procedure fail to select the Condorcet winner?